

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

I would like to know the reason that bulk long distance users should pay the same fee for using long-distance phone services since I make, maybe, 5 or 6, maybe, long distance calls a YEAR. I will not be able to afford my wife's cell phone, which is purely for her and my sons protection. IS THAT FAIR?

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Thomas Evans
538 Sumner Court
Allentown, Pennsylvania 18102-1721